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Media Summary

Evaluation of the commercial processing potential (for French fry production) of new potato genotypes has been ongoing in Tasmania for several years. This work was previously carried out by the Tasmanian Institute of Agricultural Research on behalf of processing companies and potato growers with contribution from HAL potato levy funds. A final report of such project work between 1996-2003 (PT 96005) was accepted by HAL in September 2003. Changes to the funding arrangements for this work left some early generation selections in need of further evaluation and Simplot Australia requested further comparison of these lines with commercial standard cultivars in the season 2003-04, with support of matched voluntary contribution to HAL. A final report of such project work (PT 03029) was accepted by HAL in October 2004. With the continuing need for improved yield and quality for French fries, Simplot Australia requested further comparison of new genetic material with commercial standard cultivars in the season 2004-05, with support of matched voluntary contribution from HAL.

Fourteen new potato varieties and three standard commercial cultivars were planted at Forthside Research Station in North-West Tasmania in November 2004. The trial, managed according to local commercial practice, was allowed to senesce naturally and was harvested in April 2005. From data collected for yield and quality parameters, six of the new genotypes displayed enough potential to warrant further investigation.

This report provides the results for 2004-05 obtained by the project team on behalf of Simplot Australia.
Technical Summary

Fourteen new potato genotypes from the Australian Processing Potato Improvement Program (APPIP) at Toolangi and three standard commercial cultivars were planted at the Forthside Research Station (FRS) in North-West Tasmania on 17 November 2004. The trial design was a randomised block containing three replicates with an individual plot size of 8.2m² (2 rows x 5 metres).

The trial was sited on a red ferrosol soil, and was managed according to current commercial husbandry practices for the industry standard cultivar Russet Burbank. The trial was managed by TIAR and was funded by a voluntary contribution arrangement between Simplot and HAL. The trial was allowed to senesce naturally and was harvested on 27 April 2005. TIAR staff maintained records for the trial throughout growth and during harvest assessment. Tuber yield, its components and quality parameters indicative of the lines’ French fry processing suitabilities were recorded. Harvest notes and ratings were made by Mark Heap, Sharon Saunders and Scott Morris (Simplot Australia).

Twelve genotypes were significantly better yielding than the industry standard Russet Burbank for processing yield. Specific gravity was very high across all entries, with 1.101 for Russet Burbank and 1.088 for Shepody. Common and Powdery scab levels were generally low throughout the trial site, with differences in tolerance being noted both at harvest and processing.

There were six new genotypes that showed some promise in the trial. From a field perspective, they scored well against the industry standards. In a scoring system which rated cultivars according to Simplot’s requirements, Russet Burbank rated 5.5/10 and Ranger Russet rated 6.0/10. All of the six new genotypes scored over 6.0.

It is intended to take these six new genotypes on to a detailed comparison in 2005-06. The best reselections will then proceed to agronomy profiling work starting in 2006-07. If any of the new genotypes tested in 2004-05 prove to be commercially viable, growers can expect to see commercial use in 2007-08.

A new set of APPIP lines will be tested at FVRS in 2005-06.
Introduction

Potato genetic improvement through the breeding and evaluation of potato genotypes and cultivars has been seen to be an important part of Australia’s potato research portfolio. The Tasmanian industry has benefited from the introduction and testing of genotypes such as Nooksack and Ranger Russet by APPIP. In more recent seasons, the breeding program’s increased focus on processing potato genotypes has led to a greater proportion of Australian-bred material flowing through to commercial development. The time-lines associated with the latter, however, dictate that these genotypes have yet to enter commercial use.

Evaluation of new potato genotypes for French fry production in Tasmania was previously carried out by the Tasmanian Institute of Agricultural Research on behalf of processing companies and potato growers with contribution from HAL potato levy funds. A final report of such project work between 1996-2003 (PT 96005) was accepted by HAL in September 2003. Changes to the funding arrangements for this work left some early generation selections in need of further evaluation and Simplot Australia requested further comparison of these lines with commercial standard cultivars in the season 2003-04, with support of matched voluntary contribution to HAL. A final report of such project work (PT 03029) was accepted by HAL in October 2004. With the continuing need for improved yield and quality for French fries, Simplot Australia requested further comparison of new genetic material with commercial standard cultivars in the season 2004-05, with support of matched voluntary contribution from HAL.

This report provides the results obtained by the project team for 2004-05 on behalf of Simplot Australia.

Industry involvement and research collaboration

Throughout the 2004-05 season, personnel representing J.R. Simplot Australia have observed the performance of the introduced lines and have been instrumental in making selections for ongoing evaluation.

The work also has involved collaboration with Dr Tony Slater, coordinator of the APPIP Toolangi national program, who provided the new genotypes for evaluation.
General Methodology

After initial in-situ selection by Mark Heap of Simplot Australia, approximately 10kg of seed tubers of each of fourteen lines were received from the APPIP Toolangi breeding program and planted, on 17 November 2004, in one field comparison at FRS, near Devonport in North-West Tasmania. The current commercial standards, Russet Burbank, Shepody and Ranger Russet (sourced from Toolangi in order to have the same generational attributes as the new genotypes) were used as controls for this evaluation.

The trial was replicated three times and plot size was 8.2m² (two rows, each five metres long). Plots were buffered and separated in-row by commercial, distinctively coloured tuber cultivars and current commercial husbandry practices were used. Tuber yield and quality parameters indicative of lines’ French fry processing suitabilities were recorded and analysed.

After commercial standard practices of land preparation, the trial was planted by hand into open furrows formed by a Faun potato planter, with which 11:13:19 fertiliser was band placed at a rate of 1713 kg/ha. The commercial standard cultivars, Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet and Shepody were planted at sett spacings of 325mm, 250mm and 200mm respectively. Sett spacings for new genotypes ranged from 250mm to 325mm. The seed tubers were covered in the row and plants were hilled at approximately 25 per cent row cover. Weeds were controlled with a pre-emergent application of Sprayseed® at a rate of 2 L/ha and by mechanical means, as required, after emergence. Fungicides were applied as per usual local commercial practice with a spray program utilising Bravo500® (at a rate of 1.5 L/ha), Score® (at a rate of 0.3 L/ha) and Penncozeb750DF® (at a rate of 2.2 kg/ha) as required. Rows were spaced at 810mm intervals.

The trial, grown through to maturity, was allowed to senesce naturally and was harvested on 27 April 2005. Selections were made from this trial through joint observation and discussion between Simplot R&D manager Mr Mark Heap, Simplot field officers and TIAR staff.

Tuber yield parameters:
Samples were graded by tuber weight into the following components;

0 to 80 grams
80 to 250 grams
250 to 650 grams
650 to 850 grams
>850 grams
Mishapen/distorted tubers
Cracked tubers
Diseased tubers

Combinations of the above components provided total, ware and waste grade yields. Plant counts at emergence provided tuber numbers per plant, a commercially accepted measure of yield potential.
Tuber quality parameters:

Internal Defects protocol
For each sample, ten tubers were cut from the largest size grade available to assess internal defects, principally hollow heart. If any tubers were detected with defects then another ten tubers from the next lower size grade were cut and results recorded.

Bruising protocol
Tube length = 60cm
Ball bearing weight = 130gms
Ball bearing diameter = 3cm
Five tubers were randomly selected from the 80 to 650 gram tuber weight range. Four target spots were marked (with liquid paper) on each tuber (two at rose end and two at stem end). With the tuber firmly placed under tube to absorb full impact, the ball bearing was dropped once on each target spot. Samples were stored at 20°C for twenty-four hours then target spots were peeled and bruise severity recorded as per score sheet (ratings 0=nil to 9=severe). In addition to this, an overall score of tuber shattering severity was recorded for each sample.

Dry matter
This was estimated using specific gravity (weight in water, weight in air method). A sample of approximately 2kg of tubers was tested from each plot. Specific gravity results were then converted to dry matter percentage as per the Toolangi method.

Fry colour protocol
This test was based on the methods used by the intake laboratory at the Ulverstone factory of Simplot Australia. One 10mm section French fry was cut from the centre of ten tubers for each plot. These strips were washed and dried before cooking. Fries were cooked for 150 seconds at a temperature of 190°C in Cottonseed oil. To maintain an acceptable commercial standard, the oil was changed after every fifty samples. Overall colour of each fry was scored as per the USDA 1988 French fry colour chart, a scale of 000, 00, 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 with 000 being white and 4 being dark gold. A score of 0 or less was acceptable. These individual fry ratings were then represented as a percentage of the entire sample. The percentage of “dark ends” (sugar accumulation and subsequent caramelisation after cooking) was noted for each sample. In addition to the above, uncooked flesh colour was recorded at processing.
Results

Table 1 contains the data collected for yield and quality parameters for the 14 new genotypes and for the Russet Burbank, Ranger Russet and Shepody check plots. Table 2 contains the observations made throughout the growing season, at harvest and at processing for general plant and tuber appearance. Table 3 contains boiling test data. The line FRS7 returned the highest total and fry-grade yields but exhibited unacceptable flesh and fry colour.

For processing yield, the genotypes FRS2, FRS3, FRS4, FRS5, FRS6, FRS7, FRS8, FRS9, FRS10, FRS11, FRS12 and FRS13 had significantly higher yields than Russet Burbank (P<0.05).

Specific gravity was high for all lines evaluated, (grand mean of 1.099 for the trial) however only one genotype (FRS1) was significantly greater than Russet Burbank (P<0.05). It should be noted that none of the cultivars or genotypes tested had specific gravity readings below the minimum industry acceptance level of 1.070.

Common and powdery scab incidence was minimal throughout the trial site, with differences in tolerance being noted both at harvest and processing (see Table 2). Four genotypes (FRS1, FRS2, FRS8, and FRS9) were observed with low levels of Powdery scab infestation whilst FRS10 and FRS11 had moderate levels of the disease. FRS5 was the only genotype to be observed with low levels of Common scab.

Of the twelve genotypes (as listed above) which performed significantly better than Russet Burbank for processing yield, five (FRS2, FRS3, FRS6, FRS12 and FRS13) had a similar maturity period to that of Russet Burbank, whilst FRS5 and FRS11 were significantly earlier, and the remainder were significantly later in maturity (P<0.05).

In addition to overall fry colour, colour consistency is a major factor in determining commercial suitability of new potato genotypes. Of the twelve genotypes (as listed above) which performed significantly better than Russet Burbank for processing yield, FRS2, FRS6, FRS7, FRS8, FRS12 and FRS13 had a darker fry colour overall than Russet Burbank. Two of these six genotypes (FRS12 and FRS13) displayed a degree of colour variation within their respective samples. Although FRS3, FRS5, FRS8, FRS12 and FRS14 had notable proportions of “dark ends” (after cook darkening caused by sugar accumulation at the ends of tubers), they were not significantly different to those of Russet Burbank (P<0.05).

FRS1 had similar levels of total internal defects to that of Russet Burbank, FRS8 and FRS10 had significantly higher levels than Russet Burbank, whilst the remaining genotypes had significantly lower levels of total internal defects than the industry standard (P<0.05).
Discussion

Six lines were selected by Simplot representatives and researchers as being worthy of further evaluation in future work. These cultivars will be subject to detailed agronomic investigation over the next two seasons by TIAR and Simplot Australia. If any of the new genotypes tested in 2004-05 prove to be commercially viable, growers can expect to see commercial production in 2007-08.

Arrangements with Simplot Australia for the further evaluation of these six genotypes are the subject of discussions at the time of writing this report.

Technology transfer

The season’s work was presented at an Open Day at FRS in December 2004. Results again were reported to an industry forum organized by the Potato Research and Advisory Committee in Tasmania in July 2004.

Conclusions

The comparative evaluation of new potato genotypes reported here continues to be a major part of the ongoing development of the Tasmanian potato industry and, beyond that, a contribution to the Australian Processing Potato Improvement Program. The latter is a significant part of Horticulture Australia Limited’s research and development portfolio for the potato industry.

Approximately forty percent of all lines evaluated in this trial were retained for their superior attributes in relation to the industry standard Russet Burbank. Simplot Australia consider this a positive outcome for the project and believe the continuation of this work is justified.
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Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Spacing in cm</th>
<th>Chats 0-80g No./m²</th>
<th>Small 80-250g t/ha</th>
<th>Mid 250-650g t/ha</th>
<th>Large 650-850g t/ha</th>
<th>Over &gt;850g t/ha</th>
<th>Frygrade &gt;80g t/ha</th>
<th>Total Yield t/ha</th>
<th>80 - 650g % of Fry Grade Wt. t/ha</th>
<th>Waste by Fry Grade Per Plant Maturity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRS1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS2</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS11</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS13</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS14</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russet</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepody</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD P = 0.05 2.1 1.0 7.1 10.3 2.9 10.2 0.3 ns 0.1 0.6 10.9 10.3 11.6 12.2 3.1 1.7 2.8
LSD P = 0.01 2.9 1.3 9.6 13.8 3.9 13.8 ns ns ns ns 14.7 13.8 15.6 16.4 4.2 2.2 3.8

Key: ns = not significant  
nr = not recorded  
na = not applicable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Bruise Ratings</th>
<th>% Dry Matter</th>
<th>Fry Assessment</th>
<th>Uncooked Flesh Colour</th>
<th>LSD P=0.05</th>
<th>LSD P=0.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stem end</td>
<td>Rose end</td>
<td>Shatter</td>
<td>%000</td>
<td>%00</td>
<td>%0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.102</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS10</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS11</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS12</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS13</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS14</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russet</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:  
- ns = not significant  
- nr = not recorded  
- na = not applicable

Uncooked Flesh Colour:  
1 = white  
2 = Creamy White (Off White)  
3 = Cream  
4 = Dark Cream  
5 = Bright Yellow (Yellow)
## Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Skin Colour</th>
<th>Skin Texture &amp; approx percentage</th>
<th>Primary Shape</th>
<th>Secondary Shapes</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Heel</th>
<th>Distortion</th>
<th>Size Uniformity</th>
<th>Size harvest</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRS1</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>ru</td>
<td>ob 60</td>
<td>ta, p, tw</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>v un</td>
<td>few tubers?, stolons, hollow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS2</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>sl ru</td>
<td>ob 50</td>
<td>ta, vsl tw, p</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl</td>
<td>s / m</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>variable shape, too small, flesh colour?, tuber no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS3</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>s/m / sl ru</td>
<td>ob 50</td>
<td>r, p, bl, tw</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl / sl</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>greens, stolons, variable shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS4</td>
<td>br</td>
<td>ru</td>
<td>ob 80</td>
<td>p, ta, bl</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sl</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>shape OK, lot of tubers, smallish?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS5</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>ru</td>
<td>ob 80</td>
<td>bl, p</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>good shape, size variation?; odd stolon &amp; green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS6</td>
<td>d c</td>
<td>sm</td>
<td>ob 60</td>
<td>ta, p, tw</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>s / m</td>
<td>ev</td>
<td>variable shape, too small, flesh colour??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS7</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>sl ru</td>
<td>ob 50</td>
<td>p, r, ta, tw</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>variable shape, flesh colour??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS8</td>
<td>d c</td>
<td>ru</td>
<td>ob 60</td>
<td>p, ta</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>shape OK but size variation!, hollow, flesh colour?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS9</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>sl ru</td>
<td>ta 50</td>
<td>p, ob, r</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>poor shape, odd green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS10</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>sl ru</td>
<td>l ob 70</td>
<td>bl, p, tw, r</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sl</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>poor shape, greens, scab, hollow, brown fleck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS11</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>sl ru</td>
<td>ob 60</td>
<td>r, p, bl, tw</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>s / m</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>too small?, scab, shape variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS12</td>
<td>br</td>
<td>ru</td>
<td>l ob 60</td>
<td>p, tw, ta</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sl</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>slight cracking, long thin tubers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS13</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>sl ru</td>
<td>ob 70</td>
<td>p, ta, r</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>odd green, thin tubers?, odd stolon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS14</td>
<td>br</td>
<td>h ru</td>
<td>ob 80</td>
<td>p, r, bl</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>cracking, slightly variable shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger</td>
<td>br</td>
<td>h ru</td>
<td>ob 50</td>
<td>tw, r, p, bl</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>variable shape, some cracking, thinnish tubers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russet</td>
<td>br</td>
<td>h ru</td>
<td>ob 50</td>
<td>tw, r, p, bl</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>severe cracking, hollow, variable shape &amp; size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>br</td>
<td>h ru</td>
<td>ob 50</td>
<td>bl, p, r</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>m / l</td>
<td>un</td>
<td>severe cracking, hollow, variable shape &amp; size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepody</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>sm</td>
<td>p 60</td>
<td>ob, ta, r, tw</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>sh</td>
<td>vsl</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>sl un</td>
<td>odd green, variable shape, slight scab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:**
- **Skin Colour:**
  - br = brown
  - c = cream
  - d = dark
- **Skin Texture:**
  - h = heavy
  - ru = russet
  - sl = slight
  - sm = smooth
- **Distortion:**
  - d = distorted
  - sl = slight
  - v = very
  - vsl = very slight
- **Primary & Secondary Shapes:**
  - bl = block
  - l = long
  - ob = oblong
  - p = pear
  - r = round
  - ta = taper
  - tw = twist
  - vsl = very slight
- **Eye & Heel Depth:**
  - m = moderate
  - sh = shallow
- **Size Uniformity:**
  - ev = even
  - un = uneven
  - sl = slight
  - v = very

---

**As for G3 Toolangi French fry trials**

- **Skin Colour:**
  - br = brown
  - c = cream
  - d = dark
- **Skin Texture:**
  - h = heavy
  - ru = russet
  - sl = slight
  - sm = smooth
- **Distortion:**
  - d = distorted
  - sl = slight
  - v = very
  - vsl = very slight
- **Primary & Secondary Shapes:**
  - bl = block
  - l = long
  - ob = oblong
  - p = pear
  - r = round
  - ta = taper
  - tw = twist
  - vsl = very slight
- **Size Uniformity:**
  - ev = even
  - un = uneven
  - sl = slight
  - v = very

---

**Harvest:**
- 27/4/2005
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Observations at grading (9/5/2005)</th>
<th>Common Scab</th>
<th>Powdery Scab</th>
<th>Observations at processing</th>
<th>Flower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>0 - 3</td>
<td>0 - 3</td>
<td>19/5/2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS1</td>
<td>long oblong, pear, taper</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>mottling</td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS2</td>
<td>long oblong, pear, taper, odd banana, large are lumpy, too thin?</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>slight yellow fry, severe shatter</td>
<td>white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS3</td>
<td>oblong, odd pear, large are lumpy</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS4</td>
<td>oblong, pear, odd taper, large are lumpy</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>purple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS5</td>
<td>long oblong, odd pear, vsl taper, large are lumpy</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS6</td>
<td>thin tapers, pears &amp; oblongs (too thin?), impact damage &amp; resultant breakdown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>yellow fry, severe shatter</td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS7</td>
<td>oblong, odd pear, odd taper, fairly good shape</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>slight yellow fry</td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS8</td>
<td>long oblong, odd pear, odd taper, large are lumpy</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>yellow fry</td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS9</td>
<td>oblong, pear &amp; tapers, too flat?</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>very slight vascular ring</td>
<td>white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS10</td>
<td>long oblong, tapers, odd pear, large are lumpy, brown fleck, breakdown</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>brown fleck</td>
<td>white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS11</td>
<td>oblong, pear, odd banana &amp; odd taper</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS12</td>
<td>long oblong, bananas, odd pear &amp; odd taper, too thin?</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>slight mottling</td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS13</td>
<td>long oblong, taper, pear, too thin?</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>very slight vascular ring</td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS14</td>
<td>oblong, odd pear, good shape, impact damage</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger</td>
<td>long oblong, oblong, odd pear, banana &amp; taper, distorts</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>slight mottling, very slight vascular ring</td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russet</td>
<td>oblong, pear, odd banana, large are lumpy, lot of distorts</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>vascular ring</td>
<td>white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>pear, taper, oblong, large are lumpy, too flat?</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>mauve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Common & Powdery Scab:
0 = nil infestation
1 = slight infestation
2 = moderate infestation
3 = severe infestation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Cooked Flesh Colour</th>
<th>After Cook Darkening</th>
<th>Disintegration / Sloughing</th>
<th>Softness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRS1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 &amp; 5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranger</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 &amp; 5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:
- **Cooked Flesh Colour:**
  - 1 = White
  - 2 = Creamy White (Off White)
  - 3 = Cream
  - 4 = Deep Cream
  - 5 = Bright Yellow (Yellow)
- **Disintegration / Sloughing:**
  - 1 = Nil (surface smooth and translucent)
  - 2 = Slight (surface dull but mainly intact)
  - 3 = Moderate (major part of surface sloughed off but mainly intact)
  - 4 = Severe (floury mass)
  - 5 = Very Severe (soupy)
- **After Cook Darkening:**
  - 1 = Nil
  - 2 = Slight (lightly grey)
  - 3 = Moderate (greyish black)
  - 4 = Marked (blackening around eyes and/or stem end)
  - 5 = Severe (general blackening)
- **Softness**
  - 1 = Firm (does not readily break up when tested with fork)
  - 2 = Fairly Firm (can be broken into large lumps which retain a strong mutual cohesion)
  - 3 = Fairly Soft (can be broken apart easily)
  - 4 = Soft (breaks easily up into mass of glistening crumbs with little cohesion)
  - 5 = Very Soft (breaks up easily and mashes into a slurry)