



BUSINESS CASE New Irrigator



"The Vegetable Industry Development Program is funded by HAL using the vegetable levy and matched funds from the Australian Government".

Horticulture Austral



Content

What is a business case?	02
The Scenario	03
How do you calculate it?	04
What else is important?	06
Appendix-Detailed Calculation	07

Business Case NEW IRRIGATOR

What is a business case?

A business case is a formal process of planning to provide the decision-maker with useful information to help them make a decision. You will have greater confidence in your decision, if you use a formal process and make sure you count the right things the right way, whilst also considering the things that cannot be counted.

A new irrigator

A vegetable grower in south-east Queensland, recently considered changing their irrigation system with the addition of a new lateral move irrigator. It was important to evaluate the costs and benefits of the old lateral boom irrigators that were still functioning compared to the installation of a new (and additional) lateral move irrigator.

The grower produces numerous crops as part of crop rotations and normal market opportunities. These crops include broccoli, onions, sweet corn, pulse crops and grains.

The grower operates a number of different irrigators on the property including: lateral move irrigators, big gun irrigators and lateral move (100m) boom irrigators.

What is the decision?

This specific decision relates to a 101ha (250 acres) parcel of land that is currently irrigated by two 100m lateral move boom irrigators. These existing irrigators are getting old, have high maintenance costs and in the peak of summer, can't quite keep up with the water requirement.

The decision for this grower is "to continue with the two existing lateral move boom irrigators" or alternatively "to purchase a new lateral move irrigator in addition to the existing lateral move boom irrigators".

What do you have to count?

Depreciation

An investment in new machinery and equipment will increase the grower's depreciation. This is not a cash cost, but a critical allowance to make when calculating profit. If you do not account for depreciation, then you will not have any money available to replace the machinery when needed.

Cost of capital

An investment in a new irrigator also means that they will need to use spare cash or increase borrowings to pay for the machines, thus they need to consider the cost of capital. The cost of borrowed capital is obvious (i.e. the interest cost), but the cost of your own capital is less so. Why should you value it any less? If you spend money on a new irrigator, then there will be other things you cannot do with that money, e.g. employ someone to do your marketing, pay less overdraft interest, improve mechanisation processes on farm. Thus, your own capital has a value too. It is known as its opportunity cost.

Operating costs (Maintenance & Labour)

Finally, the grower is comparing two different systems of irrigation, thus they will undoubtedly have different operating costs. They need to quantify the costs associated with each system for maintenance, water use and labour.

Productivity benefits

Why does the grower want to buy the new irrigators? If they are keen on expanding the business, or improving its profitability, then the answer must be that they expect to achieve some productivity benefits. In this particular example the productivity improvement is linked to the ability to apply more irrigation water in the peak of summer to grow more valuable and higher yielding crops.

The scenario

Here are the two scenarios that are considered comparing the current situation of the old existing boom irrigators with the addition of a new lateral move irrigator:

What do you count?	Current Situation (Two existing linear boom irrigators)	Possible Future Situation (Addition of a new lateral move irrigator for 36ha)
Depreciation	The current two machines are 20 years old and worth about \$55,000 each.	The new irrigator will cost \$120,000 excluding GST.
	They probably have 10 more years in them before they have a value of \$30,000 each.	It is anticipated to be worth \$36,000 after 30 years.
Cost of capital	With a bit of debt to repay, any spare cash is valued at 7.5%.	Capital is still worth 7.5%. This is the current rate for a Hire Purchase arrangement.
Operating costs	The existing irrigator requires a new hose and new rope every 7 years at \$10,000, and \$1,500. The engine service cost is \$7/ML, fuel is \$39/ML and each irrigator is doing around 65 hours per ha working on 4.5ML/ha application. General parts are around \$1,000 per irrigator per annum. The labour costs are at 15 hours per unit per irrigation for 10 irrigations per season with labour costs at \$30/hour including workcover and super.	The new irrigator requires a new hose every 10 years at \$10,000. The engine service cost is \$7/ML, fuel is \$39/ML and the irrigator is doing around 65 hours per ha working on 4.4ML/ha application. General parts are around \$200 per annum. The labour costs are at 2.6 hours per unit per irrigation for 10 irrigations per season with labour costs at \$30/hour including workcover and super.
Productivity benefits	Nil – current situation.	A small water application efficiency is anticipated based on 0.1ML/ha at \$80/ML for the area irrigated by the new irrigator. It is anticipated that with 3 irrigators now covering the 100ha area that a boost in productivity can occur of 20% of the crop margin (income less additional harvest costs). The crop margin is calculated on an average crop rotation.

How do you calculate it?

The table below outlines the assumptions and calculations for the two scenarios.

The calculation demonstrates that the operating costs are similar between the old system and the proposed new system as the amount of water pumped over a year is very similar regardless of which irrigator is used. There is also a significant labour saving due to the ease of positioning the lateral move irrigator compared to the old

ASSUMPTION	OLD	NEW + OLD
Capital Costs		
Current value	\$110,000	\$120,000
Salvage value	\$60,000	\$36,000
Expected life (years)	10	30
Interest rate / opportunity cost	7.5%	7.5%
Depreciation / irrigator	\$5,000	\$2,800
Opportunity		
cost / irrigator	\$8,250	\$9,000
Production Parameters		
Area under irrigation (ha)	101	101
Old Irrigator (ha)	101	65
New Irrigator (ha)		36
ML/ha applied	4.5	4.4
Water cost (\$/ML)	80	80
Maintenance Costs		
Hose replacement	1,429	1,000
Rope replacement	214	0
General parts	1,000	200
Maintenace cost / unit	\$2,643	\$1,200
Operating Costs		
Engine Service (\$/ML)	7	7
Fuel (\$/ML)	39	39
Engine hours / annum / ha	65	65
Total water pumped (ML)	454.50	441
Operating cost total	\$20,907	\$20,280
Labour Costs		
Area (ha)	36	36
Hours per irrigation / unit	15	2.6
Irrigations / season	10	10
Staff costs (incl. on costs)	\$30	\$30
Labour cost / unit	\$4,500	\$780
Labour cost / total	\$12,600	\$8,880
Productivty Benefits		
Water Efficiency		\$1,901
Crop Margin		\$2,000
(income less harvest costs)		
Estimated lift in production		20%
Estimated area improvement		101
Net Benefit		\$40,400

lateral boom irrigators. The main benefit is the additional productivity that can be achieved through correct timing of irrigations in summer when water requirements are critical.

At the end of the day, the grower is keen to purchase the new irrigator after thinking about the numbers and talking them through with his family and advisors. He expects to be able to increase his underlying profit by approximately \$33,000 per annum.

		NEW +
CALCULATION	OLD	OLD
Cost Caluclations		
Depreciation total	\$5,000	\$7,800
Opportunity cost total	\$8,250	\$17,250
Maintenance cost total	\$2,643	\$3,843
Operating cost total	\$20,907	\$20,280
Labour cost total	\$12,600	\$8,880
Total Costs	\$49,400	\$58,053
Benefit Calculations		
Water savings		\$1,091
Increased production		
(less harvest costs)		\$40,400
Total Benefits		\$41,491
Total Relative Cost	\$49,400	\$16,562
Is it more profitable?		YES
by how much?		\$32,838

The other question for the grower, is that if a 4 year hire purchase agreement is taken out for the new irrigator, then what would the cashflow impact be to the business?

The calculation of cash impact (below) shows that with

the anticipated 20% productivity increase the purchase under a hire purchase agreement is also cash flow positive provided that the payments for the new irrigators can be made to line up with the crop income.

CASH IMPACT	
Hire Purchase payments/annum	-\$34,818
Additional Maintenance costs	-\$1,200
Labour Savings	\$3,720
Water Savings	\$1,091
Productivity Benefit	\$40,400
Cash Surplus/Deficit	\$9,193

One further question raised, is how sensitive is the result to productivity enhancements? The table below describes the impact to profit when both the crop margin (total income per hectare less harvesting costs) and productivity benefits (yield and quality improvements) are changed. The sensitivity analysis shows that a minimum 5% increase in productivity is required, which the grower is confident can be achieved.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS - PROFIT

	\$1,800	\$2,000	\$2,200
1%	-\$5,744	-\$5,542	-\$5,340
5%	\$1,528	\$2,538	\$3,548
10%	\$10,618	\$12,638	\$14,658
15%	\$19,708	\$22,738	\$25,768
20%	\$28,798	\$32,838	\$36,878
25%	\$37,888	\$42,938	\$47,988

The impact to cashflow in the initial 4 year period when Principal and Interest payments are being made on the new irrigator is described in the table below. In the original calculation (page 4) the capital costs are spread over the life of the machines (10 or 30 years) where as in this cashflow sensitivity analysis the capital is loaded up into the first four years demonstrating that a productivity enhancement of at least 15% is required for the purchase to be cash flow neutral.

SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS - CASH (INITIAL YEARS)

\$1,800	\$2,000	\$2,200
-\$29,389	-\$29,187	-\$28,985
-\$22,117	-\$21,107	-\$20,097
-\$13,027	-\$11,007	-\$8,987
-\$3,937	-\$907	\$2,123
\$5,153	\$9,193	\$13,233
\$14,243	\$19,293	\$24,343
	\$1,800 -\$29,389 -\$22,117 -\$13,027 -\$3,937 \$5,153 \$14,243	\$1,800 \$2,000 -\$29,389 -\$29,187 -\$22,117 -\$21,107 -\$13,027 -\$11,007 -\$3,937 -\$907 \$5,153 \$9,193 \$14,243 \$19,293

The benefits of the new irrigator are ultimately dependent on ongoing success of the business, and in particular the margins available from the crops that are grown. These crops are subject to offtake agreements so the grower will need some confidence in their ability to maintain both the offtake agreements and margins available through the existing crop rotations. 5

What else is important?

Unmeasurable benefits and costs

Every decision involves change (benefits and costs) in your business that can be measured and those that cannot be measured. The calculations presented demonstrate how to count the things that can be counted, but it is also important to consider those things that cannot be calculated.

When the calculation shows a clear benefit, like in this business case, it is a "no brainer"! Similarly, if there were a clear cost disadvantage from making the change, it would be obvious. However, it may well be the unmeasurable benefits and costs that swing your decision when the calculation is a "close thing", e.g. in the scenario where the grower achieves a saving in labour (and frustration) but receives little benefit from the enhanced productivity.

Yield and Quality

The impact of enhanced water application in summer and periods of drought will be critical to the decision. It can be in these seasons that there is a premium in the marketplace; alternatively in wet seasons there may be little benefit at all available from the enhanced irrigation capacity. You need to beware of gaining productivity benefits, only to see reduced price in the marketplace because of season variability.

Labour Improvements

The existing workload of moving irrigators results in a significant time impediment to the business, especially in summer and during harvesting operations. Some relief on the amount of work required in moving irrigators will also provide benefits to the management team in the business.

Profit and Cashflow

The two critical items to consider are both the profit and cashflow aspects of this capital purchase. The cashflow comes under pressure as the Hire Purchase (capital) payments are loaded up into the initial 4 years of the life of the machine, rather than being spread over the full life of the machine as is the case with the profit analysis presented in this document.

Where the cashflow comes under pressure, for example in the first years if productivity is only at 5%, then additional cash will be required to meet the repayments on the irrigator. A situation can arise where the new irrigator is profitable yet there is insufficient cash available to service the Hire Purchase repayments in the period when the irrigator is being repaid.



7

Appendix – Detailed calculation

ASSUMPTION		NEW +
Capital Costs		
Current value Salvage value	\$110,000 \$60,000	\$120,000 \$36,000
Expected life (years) Interest rate / opportunity cost	10 7.5%	30 7.5%
Production Parameters	1.070	1.070
Area under irrigation (ba)	101	101
Old Irrigator (ha)	101	65
New Irrigator (ha)		36
ML/ha applied	4.5	4.4
Water cost (\$/ML)	\$80	\$80
Maintenance & Operations Co	osts	
Hose replacement cost	\$10,000	\$10,000
Hose life (years)	7	10
Rope replacement cost	\$1,500	N/A
Rope life (years)	7	N/A
Engine Service (\$/ML)	\$7	\$7
Fuel (\$/ML)	\$39	\$39
Engine hours / annum / ha	65	65
ML pumped / annum General parts / appum	400 \$1,000	\$200
	ψ1,000	φ200
Aroa (ba)	36	36
Hours per irrigation / unit	15	2.6
Irrigations / season	10	10
Staff costs (incl. on costs)	\$30	\$30
Productivty Benefits		
Crop Margin		
(income less harvest costs) Estimated lift in production		\$2,000 20%
Estimated area improvement		101
CALCULATION	OLD	NEW + OLD
Depreciation		
Current value	\$110,000	\$120,00
Less salvage valuel	\$60,000	\$36,000
Equals amount to depreciate	\$50,000 10	\$84,000 30
Equals Depreciation costs	\$5.000	\$2 800
	ψ3,000	ψ2,000
Current value	\$110.000	\$120.000
Multiplied by interest rate	7.5%	7.5%
Equals interest /	¢9.050	000 02
opportunity cost	ψ0,230	\$9,000
Maintenance Costs		
Hose replacement cost	\$10,000	\$10,000
Divided by hose life (years)	7	10
Equals annual hose cost	\$1,429	\$1,000
Rope replacement cost Rope life (years)	\$1,500 7	n/a n/a
Equals annual rope cost	\$214	\$0
General parts / annum	\$1,000	\$200
Annual hose cost	\$1,429	\$1,000
Plus annual rope cost	\$214	\$0
Plus general parts cost	\$1,000	\$200
Equals maintenance cost	\$2,643	\$1,200

CALCULATION	OLD	NEW + OLD
Operating Costs		
Engine service (\$/ML) Plus fuel (\$/ML) Equals total cost (\$/ML) Multiplied by ML pumped / annum Equals total operating cost	\$7 \$39 \$46 455 \$20,907	\$7 \$39 \$46 441 \$20,280
Labour Costs	<i><i><i>v</i>_<i>v</i>,<i>vv</i>.</i></i>	<i>Q_0,_00</i>
Area irrigated (ha) Hours per irrigation / unit Multiplied by Irrigations / season Multiplied by staff costs (\$/hr) Equals total labour cost per area	36 15 10 \$30 \$4,500	36 2.6 10 \$30 \$780
Total irrigated area Divided by area irrigated Equals number of shifts Shifts with old irrigator Shifts with new irrigator	101 36 2.8 2.8 0	101 36 2.8 1.8 1
Chiffe with perminister viabour cost	φ12,000 0	\$0,100
	0	0 #0.000
Equals total labour cost	\$12,600	\$8,880
Average water applied old system Less average water applied new irrigator Equals water saving Multiplied by cost of water		455 441 14 \$80
Equals value of water saved		\$1,091
Crop gross revenue (\$/ha) Less harvest costs (\$/ha) Equals crop margin (\$/ha) Multiplied by lift in production Multiplied by total area		2700 700 2000 20% 101
Equals increased production		\$40,400
Total Cost		
Depreciation cost old unit Depreciation cost new unit Plus opportunity cost Plus opportunity cost new unit Plus maintenance costs Plus maintenance costs new unit Plus operating costs Plus labour costs	\$5,000 \$8,250 \$2,643 \$20,907 \$12,600	\$5,000 \$2,800 \$8,250 \$9,000 \$2,643 1,200 \$20,280 \$8,880
Minus water savings	¢.2,000	-\$1,091
Minus additional productivity	.	-\$40,400
Total Cost	\$49,400	\$16,562

Is it more profitable?	YES
by how much?	
Total cost of old machines Less total cost of new machines	\$49,400 \$16,562
Equals by how much?	\$32,838



Disclaimer

This business case was produced and edited by RMCG (ph: 03 9882 2670). RMCG produces these business cases with the expectation that users exercise their own skill and care with respect to its use. Before relying on or altering any business practices, users should carefully evaluate the accuracy and relevance of the information for their purpose and should obtain appropriate professional advice relevant to their particular circumstances.



"The Vegetable Industry Development Program is funded by HAL using the vegetable levy and matched funds from the Australian Government".

Horticulture Australia